

#### Fuel Effects Test Program **EPAct Light Duty Exhaust**

Cost and timing feedback from SwRI

## Base Fuel Matrix (Cont'd)

- Original scope of program
- Tier 2 fuel effects (RVP, E10, T50,T90, Aromatics)
- Computer generated optimal design
- Fuel variables:
- T50 (3 levels)
- T90 (2 levels)
- EtOH (2 levels)RVP (2 levels)
- Aromatics (2 levels)
- 16 fuels, 19 vehicles
- Original cost estimate = \$4.2M VOC speciation, some 50 deg, no PM speciation
- Southwest estimate = Ex. 4 CBI

## Base Fuel Matrix (Cont'd)

Test Fuel Specification											
	K is in a second		BLENDING							TEST FL	
PROPERTY	=	AF HOD	TOLERANCE		2	ω	4	Ű٦	တ	٦	00
Relative Density, 60/60°F	*	D4062	季	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report
API Gravity, 60°F	°API	D4052	Z A	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report
			E0: < 0.1;								
Ethanol Content	<b>≦</b> .%	D5599	E10: ± 0.5;	0	0	ð	•	•	70	0	0
			E20: ± 0.5								
TO	4	D86	± 10	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	<del>1</del> 6
T50	٦°	D86	+ 4	195	195	195	195	195	195	215	215
T90	°F	D86	+5	300	300	300	350	350	350	300	300
FBP	ብ	D86	t	<437	<437	<437	<437	<437	<437	<437	<437
DVPE	psi	D5191	± 0.15	8.85	8.85	6.85	6.85	6.85	8.85	6.85	8.85
Aromatics	vol. %	D1319	± 1.5	15	40	40	15	40	15	15	5
Olefins	vol. %	D1319	±1.5	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Benzene	vol. %	D3606	±0.15	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62
တ	mg/kg	D5453	# 55	25	25	25	25	25	23	33	K
RON	*	D2699	±2	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	8
MON	*	D2700	±2	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	R
(R + M)/2	8	Calc.	±2	89	88	89	89	89	88	89	89
C	mass %	Calc.	ŧ	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report
工	mass %	D4808 Method A	*	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report
0	mass %	D5599	ł	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report
Water Content	mg/kg	E1064	*	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report
Net Heat of Combustion	MJ/kg	D4809	*	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report
Oxidation Stability	minute	D525	,	>240	>240	>240	>240	>240	>240	>240	>240
Copper Strip Corrosion, 3h at 122°F	*	D130	ş	ŚNo. 1	^No. 1	^No. 1	<no. 1<="" td=""><td><no. 1<="" td=""><td>^No. 1</td><td>Ŷo. 1</td><td>≙ 6. 1</td></no.></td></no.>	<no. 1<="" td=""><td>^No. 1</td><td>Ŷo. 1</td><td>≙ 6. 1</td></no.>	^No. 1	Ŷo. 1	≙ 6. 1
Solvent-Washed Gum Content	mg/100 ml	D381	ē	۸ ش	\ (5)	\ \ \ \	\ \ \ \	۸ ش	\ \	<u>ئ</u>	۸ ن

# Proposed Program Costs

- Our proposal is to add:
- 3 or 4 "real world" ethanol blends on all vehicles to generate data for GHG rule analysis this would add [Ex. 4 сві to base program
- Fuels: E0, E10, E15+ Toxics: Yes
- Temps: 50° and 75°F
- Include some speciation\*\*, N2O, NH3...
- PM speciation (included above and proposed on 3/4 GHG "real world" fuels)
- using SwRI proposed methods, we feel, may result in **qualitative results only**. We're working to develop methods for this part of the program which will greatly improve the data quality **this would add** [Ex.4-CB] **and need to happen later**. We would also like to explore the option of doing this PM work separately at NVFEL as a parallel program
- Option B hinges on DOE involvement (DOE kicks in \$2 Million)

OPTION	DESCRIPTION	FUELS	VEHICLES   COST	COST
Α	Base EPA Program + 3 GHG fuels	16 + 3 GHG	19	\$4.0 M
В	EPA / DOE collaborative program + 4 GHG fuels	25 +2 (E85) + 4 GHG	19 + 2 High Emitters	\$5.9 M

### Project Timing

- Testing estimated to begin in March 2008
- Most of that time is waiting for fuels (need to decide ASAP which option to select!)
- Time also required for test cell upgrades (for 50°F tests) and additional fuel drum storage capacity
- Ability to provide data for GHG rule
- We would add 3 or 4 in-use fuels (E0, E10, E15+) to each option and test these fuels first for a preliminary datase
- Includes both 50°F and 75°F tests (75°F tests done first)
- SwRI can run 27 tests/week at 75°F (18 at 50°F)
- At this rate (plus 30% margin of safety) and starting 3/03/08:
- Option A: 19 vehicles \* 3 GHG fuels =14 weeks (e.g. 6/09/08)
- Option B: 21 vehicles \* 4 GHG fuels =21 weeks (e.g. 7/28/08)

## Take Away Points

- April 2008 start date for main program We need to pick fuels (Option A vs. B) ASAP to meet the March or
- DOE response expected Tuesday, 11/6/07
- DOE involvement is necessary for any data on high level ethanol blends (anything >E10)
- are in the process of designing our own collection methods. It is questionable that this will be ready by March 08 and might need to be done as an addendum to the program (or done separately at our We feel their "non-VOC" PM speciation proposal is inadequate and so SwRI can begin recruiting vehicles and blending these fuels We need the "OK" to add 3 or 4 fuels for GHG rule data generation